ABSTRACT

This practice parameter reviews the literature on the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with bipolar disorder. The parameter focuses primarily on bipolar 1 disorder because that is the type most often studied in juveniles. The presentation of bipolar disorder in youth, especially children, is often considered atypical compared with that of the classic adult disorder, which is characterized by distinct phases of mania and depression. Children who receive a diagnosis of bipolar disorder in community settings typically present with rapid fluctuations in mood and behavior, often associated with comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and disruptive behavior disorders. Thus, at this time it is not clear whether the atypical forms of juvenile mania and the classic adult form of the disorder represent the same illness. The question of diagnostic continuity has important treatment and prognostic implications. Although more controlled trials are needed, mood stabilizers and atypical antipsychotic agents are generally considered the first line of treatment. Although patients may respond to monotherapy, combination pharmacotherapy is necessary for some youth. Behavioral and psychosocial therapies are also generally indicated for juvenile mania to address disruptive behavior problems and the impact of the illness on family and community functioning. 
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The number of children and adolescents receiving a diagnosis of bipolar disorder has increased markedly during the past decade in the United States. Bipolar disorder was once thought to occur only rarely in youths, especially children (see Carlson, 2005). However, there has been a shift in how the disorder is defined in juveniles. There are also similar debates about how broadly to define the disorder in adults (Baldessarini, 2000; Judd and Akiskal, 2003). In general, the field has shifted from diagnostic practices based on pattern recognition or template (i.e., the syndrome is defined by characteristic patterns of symptom and clinical presentations, including an episodic course) to one in which individual symptom criteria are considered as evidence of the disorder. Inasmuch, more individuals, children and adults, are...
characterized as having subthreshold or “atypical cases” based on periods of elated, expansive, or irritable mood (Carlson, 2005). This atypical but common presentation of mania in children appears to be caused by developmental differences in manic symptom expression and the evolving picture of this disorder in children.

Although current DSM-IV-TR nosology does not distinguish age-specific criteria for bipolar disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 2000), the patterns of illness and symptom definition described in children often vary from the classic description of the disorder in adults (i.e., a cyclical illness characterized by distinct phases of mania and depression). Youths in community settings who present with outbursts of mood lability, irritability, reckless behavior, and aggression are now receiving a diagnosis of bipolar disorder. Published reports of juvenile mania describe either youths with a pattern of illness of very rapid, brief, recurrent episodes lasting hours to a few days (Geller et al., 2000, 2004) or youths with chronic mania, including those with mania as their baseline functioning (Wozniak et al., 1995). Thus, whether these presentations are bipolar disorder and/or represent the same condition classically described in adults has become an area of controversy and scientific debate (Pavuluri et al., 2005). The continuity of juvenile mania with the classic adult disorder has important clinical implications because assumptions about the diagnosis lead to treatment decisions based primarily on the adult literature. There are also implications for etiological research, genetic counseling, and family educational interventions because all of these areas often assume continuity of the disorder.

This parameter does not attempt to resolve this debate. Only continued research will accomplish that goal. The intent of the parameter is to describe what is known of the disorder in juveniles, where areas of controversy lie, and what constitutes acceptable practices and appropriate care.

**DEFINITIONS**

The following definitions are derived from DSM-IV-TR unless otherwise specified:

**Bipolar I disorder:** Bipolar I disorder requires the occurrence of a manic (or mixed) episode with duration of at least 7 days, unless hospitalization is required. Episodes of depression are not required, but most patients experience major or minor episodes of depression during their life span. In comparison, the ICD-10 (World Health Organization, 1992), the diagnostic system used by much of the world, describes bipolar I disorder as an episodic illness with bouts of mania and depression and requires that manic episodes last 1 week or more. Both DSM-IV-TR and ICD-10 stipulate that the episodes represent a significant departure from the individual’s baseline functioning and note that the typical age at onset is young adulthood. Thus, these definitions are consistent with the classic conceptualization of the disorder. The definition of mania is a critical issue in the pediatric literature. Many of the published studies used DSM-III-R criteria, which did not specify duration criteria for mania. Therefore, brief outbursts of manic-like symptoms could be classified as mania.

**Mixed episode:** A period lasting 7 days or more in which symptoms for both a manic and depressive episode are met.

**Bipolar II disorder:** This illness requires periods of major depression and hypomania (episodes lasting at least 4 days) but no full manic or mixed manic episodes.

**Rapid cycling:** The occurrence of at least four mood episodes in 1 year. Per DSM-IV-TR, rapid cycling episodes still must meet the prerequisite duration criteria (e.g., 7 days for a manic episode). Note that this definition is different from that used in some
published studies of youths, in which cycling refers to mood changes within an episode (Geller et al., 2004).

Bipolar disorder not otherwise specified (NOS): This term is used for cases that do not meet full criteria for other bipolar diagnoses. This term also has been recommended to describe the large number of youths who receive a diagnosis of bipolar disorder who do not have the classic adult presentation (NIMH, 2001).

Definitions currently used in the juvenile bipolar literature, but not provided in DSM-IV-TR, include the following:

*Ultrarapid cycling:* Ultrarapid cycling refers to brief, frequent manic episodes lasting hours to days, but less than the 4-day prerequisite for hypomania. Geller et al. (2000) define ultrarapid cycling as having 5 to 364 cycles per year.

*Ultradian cycling:* Ultradian cycling refers to repeated brief (minutes to hours) cycles that occur daily. Geller et al. (2000) use the definition of greater than 365 cycles per year.

These terms were originally proposed by Kramlinger and Post (1996) to describe a small number of adult patients with rapid mood shifts, but they have not been adopted as part of DSM-IV-TR. The use of different descriptors makes it difficult to compare descriptions of adult and juvenile cases.

**HISTORICAL REVIEW**

Kraepelin (1921) observed that mania occurred rarely in children and that the onset of first episodes increased significantly after puberty. However, early-onset mania generally went unrecognized in the first part of the 20th century. Although Anthony and Scott (1960) reported cases of manic-depressive psychosis in children, the clinical bias that mania did not occur in youths persisted until large-scale studies of bipolar adults found that approximately one fifth of cases retrospectively had evidence of the illness before age 19 years (Carlson et al., 1977; Joyce, 1984; Loranger and Levine, 1978). Even though many adults with bipolar disorder describe the onset of mood symptoms during childhood (Lish et al., 1994; Perlis et al., 2004), the presenting symptoms are most often depression and hyperactivity, with episodes of mania developing later.

Historically, diagnostic confusion between schizophrenia and bipolar disorder led to misdiagnosis in adolescents. Mania during adolescence often presents with psychosis (McGlashan, 1988). Carlson and Strober (1978) reported that bipolar disorder in six adolescents was originally misdiagnosed as schizophrenia, a diagnostic tendency noted in subsequent studies (Bashir et al., 1987; Joyce, 1984; McClellan et al., 1993; Werry et al., 1991). This problem appears to be less of an issue given better adherence to DSM criteria (Carlson et al., 1994; Schwartz et al., 2000).

Although historically considered rare, childhood-onset bipolar disorder is now being diagnosed much more commonly, including in preschoolchildren (Wilens et al., 2003). This remains an area of controversy (NIMH, 2001), as discussed in greater detail below.

**EPIDEMIOLOGY**

The estimated lifetime prevalence of bipolar I disorder in the general population ranges from 0.4% to 1.6%, with ≈0.5% having bipolar II (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). The National Comorbidity Survey Replication study found the combined prevalence of bipolar I and II disorders to be 2.6% (Kessler et al., 2005). However, some studies of adults suggest rates as high as ≈6% when including subthreshold or “spectrum” cases (Judd and Akiskal, 2003). Epidemiological surveys of childhood psychiatric disorders have generally not addressed the illness (Costello, 1989). In a study of predominantly rural youths, ages 9, 11, and 13 years, no cases of mania were found (Costello et al., 1996). A community school survey of older adolescents (14–18 years) found the lifetime prevalence rate to be ≈1% (Lewinsohn et al., 1995), although only 0.1% had mania. Most of the identified cases had hypothythmia or cyclothymia. An additional 5.7% had subthreshold symptomatology. Carlson and Kashani (1988), in an epidemiological survey of 14- to 16-year-old youth, found that the estimated lifetime prevalence of mania was 0.6%. Rates rose to 13.3% depending on whether duration and severity criteria were accounted for. Although overall bipolar disorder affects both sexes equally, early-onset cases are predominantly male, especially in cases with onset before age 13 years.

Historically, large surveys of adults with bipolar disorder noted that onset before age 10 years occurred in only 0.3% to 0.5% of patients (Goodwin and Jamison, 1990; Kraepelin, 1921; Loranger and Levine,
leading to the assumption that childhood-onset bipolar disorder was rare. However, subsequent surveys of adults with the disorder (Lish et al., 1994; Perlis et al., 2004) note that a large proportion describe mood symptoms (most often depression) beginning in childhood. As the definition of bipolarity has broadened in both juveniles and adults, some experts suggest that the disorder may be as prevalent as 1% in youths (Geller and Luby, 1997).

RISK FACTORS

In the adult literature, twin, adoption, and family history studies support a strong genetic component, with a four- to sixfold increased risk of disorder in first-degree relatives of affected individuals (Nurnberger and Foroud, 2000). The degree of familiality appears even higher in early-onset, highly comorbid cases (Faraone et al., 2003). More studies are needed, including those that examine the offspring of parents with other psychiatric illnesses (e.g., substance abuse, major depression), to assess specificity.

Dysthymic, cyclothymic, or hyperthymic (irritable, driven) temperaments may presage eventual bipolar disorder (Akiskal, 1995). Offspring of parents with bipolar disorder display more symptoms suggestive of risk for the disorder than those of normal controls, including mood lability, anxiety, attention difficulties, hyperarousal, depression, somatic complaints, and school problems (Chang et al., 2003; Egeland et al., 2003). Egeland et al. (2003) noted that these symptoms presented in an episodic pattern, consistent with the classic adult presentation, but in contrast to other reports of bipolarity in children.

Premorbid psychiatric problems are common in early-onset bipolar disorder, especially difficulties with disruptive behavior disorders, irritability, and behavioral dyscontrol (Carlson, 1990; Fergus et al., 2003; Geller et al., 2002a; McClellan et al., 2003; Werry et al., 1991; Wozniak et al., 1995). Premorbid history may vary by age at onset. Rates of prior disruptive behavior disorders vary in studies of teenagers with bipolar disorder, with some youths having normal premorbid histories (Kafantaris et al., 1998; Kutcher et al., 1998; McClellan et al., 2003), whereas most childhood cases are associated with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD; Findling et al. 2001; Geller et al., 2002a; Wozniak et al., 1995). The estimated rate of childhood hyperactivity in adults with bipolar disorder is approximately 10% to 20% (Carlson et al., 2000a, Nierenberg et al., 2005; Winokur et al., 1993). Although many children with bipolar disorder have histories of disruptive behavior disorders, the reverse is not necessarily true. Follow-up studies of youths with ADHD have not shown that they have an increased rate of classic bipolar disorder as adults (Carlson et al., 2000b; Mannuzza et al., 1998; Weiss et al., 1985).

Premorbid anxiety and dysphoria are also common, including in those whose first mood episode is a depressive disorder. Approximately 20% of youths with major depression go on to experience manic episodes by adulthood (Geller et al., 1994, 2001; Kovacs, 1996; Rao et al., 1995; Strober and Carlson, 1982). Similar to findings in adults, factors that predict the eventual development of mania in depressed children and adolescents include (1) a depressive episode characterized by rapid onset, psychomotor retardation, and psychotic features; (2) a family history of affective disorders, especially bipolar disorder; and (3) a history of mania or hypomania after treatment with antidepressants (Strober and Carlson, 1982). Youths with psychotic depression are presumed to be at greater risk for switching to mania with antidepressant therapy, although not all studies have found this (DelBello et al., 2003).

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

In adults, the disorder is considered to be cyclical in nature, with episodes of illness representing a significant departure from an individual’s baseline functioning and mental status examination (Goodwin and Jamison 1990; World Health Organization, 1992). Mood changes characteristic of mania include marked euphoria, grandiosity, and irritability, with associated racing thoughts, increased psychomotor activity, and mood lability (Cassidy and Carroll, 2001). Paranoia, confusion, and/or florid psychosis may also be present. Marked sleep disturbance is a hallmark sign. Significant depressive symptoms may precede, occur conjointly (mixed episodes), or follow those of mania within the same episode. Depressive episodes in adults with bipolar disorder are typically characterized by psychomotor retardation and hypersomnia, significant suicide attempts, and often psychotic symptoms (Goodwin and Jamison, 1990). Severe cases may progress to catatonia.
Mania in adolescents is frequently associated with psychotic symptoms, markedly labile moods, and/or mixed manic and depressive features (Pavuluri et al., 2004a,b,c,d, 2005). The early course of bipolar disorder in adolescents appears to be more chronic and refractory to treatment than adult onset (Perlis et al., 2004), whereas the long-term prognosis is either similar to that of adults (Carlson et al., 1977; McClellan et al., 1993; McGlashan, 1988; Werry et al., 1991) or worse (Carter et al., 2003). Comorbidity predicts functional impairment, whereas age at onset predicts duration of episodes (Carlson et al., 2000a, 2002). Jairam et al. (2004) found that 96% of subjects (n = 25) with juvenile mania (onset <16 years) recovered from their index episode, but that 64% relapsed after a mean period of 18 months despite ongoing mood stabilizer therapy. McClellan et al. (1999), in a comparison study of youths, primarily young adolescents, with early-onset psychotic disorders (onset <18 years), found that subjects with bipolar disorder tended to have a cyclical course similar to reports in adults.

Juvenile mania, especially in younger children, often is characterized by symptom presentations and patterns of illness that vary from the classic descriptions of bipolar disorder in adults (Bowring and Kovacs, 1992). Changes in mood, energy levels, and behavior are often markedly labile and erratic rather than persistent. Irritability, belligerence, and mixed manic-depressive features are more common than euphoria. High rates of comorbid disruptive disorders are commonly found. Studies vary somewhat in their descriptions of early-onset cases. Findling et al. (2001) found that bipolar disorder in both children and adolescents is cyclical, had high rates of rapid cycling, and had low rates of 3interepisode recovery. In a series of studies by Biederman and colleagues (Biederman et al., 2004a,b; Wozniak et al., 1995), children with juvenile mania most often present with mixed episodes (primarily irritability and explosiveness), with chronic impairment, including some patients for whom the illness represents their baseline functioning. Children with bipolar depression present with both anger and dysphoria and are more likely to have comorbid conduct, anxiety, and substance abuse problems than youths with unipolar depression (Wozniak et al., 2004). Geller et al. (2000, 2002a, 2004) published a series of reports examining bipolar disorder in children. This group has characterized a prepubertal and early-adolescent bipolar disorder (PEA-BP) phenotype for this research study, with the development of a structured diagnostic interview to identify cases (Geller et al., 2000, 2002a). Using the investigators’ criteria that require the presence of elation and grandiosity, a cycle of manic symptoms may be as short as 4 hours, with at least one cycle daily for 2 weeks defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for mania. Of the youths with PEA-BP, 10% had ultrarapid cycling and 77% had ultradian cycling (Geller et al., 2000). On average, the youths were described as having 3.7 ± 2.1 cycles per day (Geller et al., 2002a). The average age at onset was 7.3 ± 3.5 years, with a duration of episode of 3.6 ± 2.5 years (an episode is defined as the duration of the illness, which differs from the adult literature convention; Geller et al., 2000). There was also a high rate of comorbid ADHD and other disruptive behavior disorders (Tillman et al., 2003). This sample, with chronic continuous rapid cycling, long durations of episodes, and a high rate of ADHD, may be similar to youths described by Wozniak et al. (1995) as having chronic baseline mania. The PEA-BP phenotype has been reported to be reliable, with stability over follow-up assessments at 6 months and 1, 2, and 4 years (Geller et al., 2001a, 2002b, 2004). Unfortunately, there were high rates of chronicity and relapse during the 4-year follow-up period despite community treatment (Geller et al., 2002b). Low ratings of maternal warmth predicted a shorter time to relapse, whereas psychosis was associated with greater chronicity (Geller et al., 2004). Participation in community treatment (including mood stabilizers) did not appear to improve outcome at year 2 (Geller et al., 2002b). Symptoms of mania and hypomania persisted in this sample over a 4-year period. However, this study does not resolve the questions as to whether these children will develop more classic bipolar disorder, continue to demonstrate atypical presentations, and/or develop other psychiatric disorders.

**DIAGNOSTIC CONTROVERSY**

The debate and controversy over juvenile bipolar disorder are not whether there are a significant number of youths who are explosive, dysregulated, and emotionally labile or whether these youths suffer significant impairment or are at risk for a variety of adverse outcomes, including substance abuse. These
difficulties and concerns are commonplace, especially in community mental health settings and systems of care that deal with at-risk youths (e.g., juvenile justice, foster care). The debate is whether these problems in youths are best characterized as bipolar disorder and, more important, whether juvenile mania is the same illness as that classically described in adults (Kent and Craddock, 2003; Kim and Miklowitz, 2002; Leibenluft et al., 2003; McClellan, 2005). Mood dysregulation in children and adolescents is often associated with features of borderline personality disorder (McClellan and Hamilton, 2006). This raises questions of diagnostic specificity and the overlap between mood and personality disorders, while also generating concerns regarding the validity of personality disorder diagnoses in youths. A related debate occurs in the adult literature, in which “bipolarity” overlaps with a broad array of mood and anxiety problems, including difficulties attributed to personality disorders or substance abuse (see Baldessarini, 2000).

Reports of juvenile mania challenge several preexisting notions about the classic descriptions of the illness in adults. Differences in symptom presentation and pattern of illness raise questions of diagnostic continuity. Rather than being a cyclical disorder with an acute onset of clearly demarcated phases of mania and/or depression, bipolar disorder typically presents in youths as chronic difficulties regulating their moods, emotions, and behavior. Such outbursts are erratic and explosive, often lasting just minutes to hours and yet represent fairly stable baseline patterns of response to stress, conflict, or interpersonal negotiations (thus the description of chronic mania by Woźniak et al. [1995] or the description of long duration of episode and lack of remission by Geller et al. [2004]). Furthermore, a marked reduction in the need for sleep is considered a pathognomonic sign of mania in adults (American Psychiatric Association, 1994; Goodwin and Jamison, 1990), whereas in published studies of juvenile mania, only ≤50% of cases had sleep disturbance (Biederman et al., 1998; Geller et al., 1999).

Another challenge is reconciling the noted high rates of juvenile mania described in some samples with the apparently contradictory adult epidemiological findings. In the general population, the overall prevalence is ≈1%, with rates increasing with age. The peak ages at onset range from 15 to 30 years (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). However, the early-onset bipolar literature suggests that perhaps 1% of youths have the illness, based presumably on the percentage of children with ADHD who receive a diagnosis of juvenile mania (Geller and Luby, 1997). Thus, these two rates are basically the same, which is counterintuitive because bipolar disorder is generally considered a lifelong condition and thus the rates should increase over time. The apparent discrepancies between the adult and child prevalence literature could be caused by several factors: the age at onset has shifted markedly downward (or the ability to recognize the illness earlier has improved), the adult prevalence rates are grossly underestimated, and/or the overall prevalence of the disorder is increasing at a remarkable rate. Conversely, these findings may imply that some children diagnosed with bipolar disorder do not have the same illness that is classically described in adults.

Other factors to consider when evaluating whether bipolar disorder in children and adolescents represents the same entity as in adults includes evidence of heritability and whether the early-onset form eventually evolves into the more classic adult presentation. Faraone et al. (1997) found that youths with juvenile mania have increased family histories of bipolar disorder. However, this study also found higher than expected rates of bipolar disorder in relatives of controls, raising questions about the specificity of how the diagnosis is being applied (see Klein et al., 1998). With regard to long-term outcome, although symptoms of early-onset bipolar disorder appear stable over time (Biederman et al., 2004b; Geller et al., 2004), juvenile mania has not yet been shown to progress into the classic adult disorder. Furthermore, because early childhood problems with ADHD and conduct problems also tend to persist long term (Shaw et al., 2005), it is not clear whether outcomes are attributable to the early-onset bipolar disorder versus its associated comorbidities.

Lewinsohn et al. (2000) found that bipolar disorder during later adolescence predicted continuity of the disorder at age 24 years. However, subsyndromal cases, which may include youths otherwise characterized as bipolar disorder NOS, had an increase in psychopathology and adverse outcomes as young adults, but not an increase in bipolar disorder. Both the bipolar
and subsyndromal cases had an increased risk of antisocial and borderline personality symptoms. Hazell et al. (2003), in a 6-year follow-up study, found that manic symptoms in boys with ADHD did not persist, nor did they evolve into DSM-IV-defined bipolar disorder. Studies that did find continuity (Carlson, 1990; Jairam et al., 2004; McClellan et al., 1993, 1999; McGlashan, 1988; Werry et al., 1991) used the classic adult definition of the illness and thus likely did not include cases more recently described as juvenile mania.

This debate is driven in part by a fundamental dilemma inherent to child and adolescent psychiatry: how to extrapolate adult diagnostic criteria for use with children and adolescents. DSM criteria for mania specify that the distinct periods of elevated mood may include irritability. Therefore, how do clinicians distinguish irritable mania from more commonplace anger problems, especially given the high rate of comorbidity with disruptive behavior disorders? Hallmark manic symptoms of grandiosity, psychomotor agitation, and reckless behavior must be differentiated from those of other more common childhood disorders such as hyperactivity, irritability, dangerous play, and inappropriate sexualized activity, as well as from the normal childhood phenomena of boasting, imaginary play, overactivity, and youthful indiscretions. To address these concerns, Geller et al. (2002a) required that either elation or grandiosity occur but did not specify how long these symptoms had to persist to be rated as significantly abnormal. Although the investigators provided examples of elated or grandiose symptoms suggestive of childhood mania (Geller et al., 2002a), the descriptions still raise questions. Many behaviors characterized as elation (excessive silliness and giggling) or grandiosity (a child saying it is not wrong for him to steal after getting caught, a child believing he or she can grow up to be a famous athlete even though he or she is not good at sports) are commonplace among youths with disruptive behavior problems (Harrington and Myatt, 2003). The lack of a gold standard, independent of diagnostic criteria, for confirming a diagnosis remains the major challenge. This is a problem for all psychiatric research because ultimately the application of diagnostic criteria is dependent on the clinician’s or investigator’s views as to what constitutes a symptom (see McClellan and Werry, 2000 for a more extensive review of these issues).

Although some studies have attempted to validate the diagnosis by examining other diagnostic tools (e.g., symptom rating scales), these measures generally assess the same types of symptoms and therefore may not be truly independent. For example, juvenile mania is proposed to have a specific profile on the Child Behavior Checklist, with elevations in scales for inattention/hyperactivity, depression/anxiety, and aggression (Mick et al., 2003). However, it is questionable whether this represents a unique profile versus a greater severity of psychopathology across multiple domains (Youngstrom et al., 2005a,b). Poor rates of agreement are found among reports of manic symptoms made by children, parents, and teachers (Thuppal et al., 2002). Parent report appears to be more useful than teacher or youth report for discriminating cases (Youngstrom et al., 2004). Children, for whom there is good agreement between parents and teachers regarding manic symptoms are more likely to have a complicated, refractory course of illness (Carlson and Youngstrom, 2003). Manic symptoms may be nonspecific markers for emotionality and severity rather than true indicators of a classic manic disorder (Carlson et al., 1998; Hazell et al., 2003; Thuppal et al., 2002).

Finally, this debate raises important issues regarding the implications of labeling children with a major psychiatric disorder, especially one that warrants treatment with aggressive pharmacotherapy. This is especially pertinent given the recent public concerns about the increasing use of psychotropic agents in youths, including preschoolchildren (Zito et al., 2000). Anecdotally, the diagnosis of bipolar disorder is now being applied to very young children. In a survey of members of the Child and Adolescent Bipolar Foundation, 24% of the respondents’ affected children (n = 854) were between the ages of 1 and 8 years (Hellander, 2002). Wilens et al. (2003) noted that 26% of preschoolchildren with ADHD have bipolar disorder, a rate significantly higher than that found in their comparison school-age sample. Others (Dilsaver and Akiskal, 2004; Scheffer and Niskala Apps, 2004) have also described bipolar disorder in preschoolers, whereas Speltz et al. (1999) found no cases using similar diagnostic methodologies in a sample referred for disruptive behavior disorders. Reports of very early onset bipolar disorder raise questions about the applicability and developmental appropriateness of...
applying adult criteria to toddlers. The validity of diagnosing bipolar disorder in preschool children has not been established. A consensus conference of experts advised the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to only extend medication treatment studies down to age 10 years, given concerns about the challenge of accurate diagnosis in younger children (Carlson et al., 2000). Until the validity of the diagnosis is established in preschoolers, caution should be taken before making the diagnosis in anyone younger than age 6 years.

The evidence is not yet sufficient to conclude that most presentations of juvenile mania are continuous with the classic adult disorder (Harrington and Myatt, 2003). It is possible that juvenile bipolar disorder evolves into the broader subthreshold subtypes described in adults (Judd and Akiskal, 2003). This issue has not been sufficiently studied. Furthermore, a major dilemma in the existing literature is that the term bipolar disorder is used differently by different investigators to describe what may be diverse clinical populations. Thus, Leibenluft et al. (2003) proposed subdividing juvenile mania into three phenotypes: narrow (classically defined DSM-IV-TR mania), intermediate (well-demarcated periods of mania or hypomania lasting 1–3 days), and broad (chronic difficulties with irritability and hyperarousal). Such an approach acknowledges the potential differences in the disorder as it is being applied in juveniles. The term bipolar disorder NOS has been recommended to characterize some of the published reports of juvenile mania (NIMH, 2001). Further study is needed to confirm that this represents a discrete diagnostic group (versus a mixture of emotional and behavioral disturbances at the severe end of the spectrum), and what, if any, relationship this syndrome has to narrowly defined DSM-IV-TR mania.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Each recommendation in this parameter is identified as falling into one of the following categories of endorsement, indicated by an abbreviation in brackets following the statement. These categories indicate the degree of importance or certainty of each recommendation.

[MS] Minimal standards are recommendations that are based on rigorous empirical evidence (e.g., randomized, controlled trials) and/or overwhelming clinical consensus. Minimal standards are expected to apply >95% of the time (i.e., in almost all cases).

[CG] Clinical guidelines are recommendations that are based on empirical evidence and/or strong clinical consensus. Clinical guidelines apply ≈75% of the time (i.e., in most cases). These practices should almost always be considered by the clinician, but there are significant exceptions to their universal application.

[OP] Options are practices that are acceptable, but not required. There may be insufficient empirical evidence and/or clinical consensus to support recommending these practices as minimal standards or clinical guidelines.

[NE] Not endorsed refers to practices that are known to be ineffective or contraindicated.

The recommendations of this parameter are based on a thorough review of the literature as well as clinical consensus. The following coding system is used to indicate the nature of the research that supports the recommendations.

[rdb] Randomized, double-blind clinical trial is a study of an intervention in which subjects are randomly assigned to either treatment or control groups and both subjects and investigators are blind to the assignments.

[rct] Randomized clinical trial is a study of an intervention in which subjects are randomly assigned to either treatment or control groups.

[ct] Clinical trial is a prospective study in which an intervention is made and the results are followed longitudinally.

SCREENING

Recommendation 1. Psychiatric Assessments for Children and Adolescents Should Include Screening Questions for Bipolar Disorder [MS].

Screening questions include inquiries about distinct, spontaneous periods of mood changes associated with sleep disturbances and psychomotor activation. Histories of depression and family histories of mood disorders are also important to assess. Symptoms of irritability, reckless behaviors, or increased energy are important to assess, but they occur in a number of different conditions and therefore lack specificity. Because emotional and behavioral difficulties in children are often context dependent, it is important to assess symptom reports in perspective given family, school, peer, and other psychosocial factors, rather than simply using a checklist to identify psychopathology.
ASSESSMENT

Recommendation 2. The *DSM-IV-TR* Criteria, Including the Duration Criteria, Should Be Followed When Making a Diagnosis of Mania or Hypomania in Children and Adolescents [MS].

Manic-like symptoms of irritability and emotional reactivity may be found in a number of conditions, including disruptive behavior disorders, posttraumatic stress disorder, and pervasive developmental disorders. Manic grandiosity and irritability present as marked changes in the individual’s mental and emotional state, rather than reactions to situations, temperamental traits, negotiation strategies, or anger outbursts. The pattern of illness, duration of symptoms, and association with psychomotor, sleep, and cognitive changes are important diagnostic clues. The illness represents a marked departure from baseline functioning, and it should be evident and impairing in different realms of the child’s life (i.e., not isolated to one setting). Acute psychosis in an adolescent may be the first presentation of mania, and it needs to be carefully assessed for other associated features, including a marked decrease in the need for sleep, affective lability, a lack of negative symptoms, and/or a positive family history.

The diagnostic assessment needs to incorporate both current and past history regarding symptomatic presentation, treatment response, psychosocial stressors, and family psychiatric history. It is helpful to organize the clinical information using a life chart to characterize the course of illness, patterns of episodes, severity, and treatment response (Youngstrom et al., 2005). Using such a longitudinal perspective to conceptualize the disorder helps with diagnostic accuracy because the presenting symptoms during the acute phases often can be confused with other disorders. Cross-cultural issues may influence the expression or interpretation of symptoms and/or treatment response, and therefore must be assessed.

Structured diagnostic interviews and questionnaires are available that may be helpful for diagnosing bipolar disorder in youth, with the K-SADS and the WASH-U-KSADS being the most commonly used diagnostic tools in published research (Geller et al., 2001; Wozniak et al., 1995). The Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS; Youngstrom et al., 2003) is commonly used in research to assess the severity of manic symptoms, and assess treatment response. However, the YMRS is not a diagnostic instrument. There are no biological tests, including imaging or genetic studies, that are helpful in making the diagnosis of a bipolar disorder.

Recommendation 3. Bipolar Disorder NOS Should Be Used to Describe Youths With Manic Symptoms Lasting Hours to Less Than 4 Days or for Those With Chronic Manic-Like Symptoms Representing Their Baseline Level of Functioning [CG].

Children with manic symptoms lasting hours to less than 4 days, or with chronic manic-like symptoms are significantly impaired. Until it is clear that this condition is really continuous with adult-type bipolar disorder for which the treatment literature applies, such youths should be characterized as having bipolar disorder NOS, with the recognition that is a scant evidence base from which to extrapolate treatment recommendations. Youths characterized as having bipolar disorder NOS typically have high rates of comorbid disorders including ADHD, disruptive behavior disorders, posttraumatic stress disorder, anxiety disorders, and developmental disorders. Their mood states are generally volatile and reactive. It is important to examine for environmental triggers, patterns of events that reinforce the outbursts, significant pragmatic language impairment, and risk factors (e.g., history of maltreatment).

Recommendation 4. Youths With Suspected Bipolar Disorder Must Also Be Carefully Evaluated for Other Associated Problems, Including Suicidality, Comorbid Disorders (Including Substance Abuse), Psychosocial Stressors, and Medical Problems [MS].

A thorough workup can rule out other confounding illnesses and identify comorbid disorders that need to be addressed as part of a comprehensive treatment plan. Adolescents with bipolar disorder are reported to have high rates of suicide attempts (Strober et al., 1995) and are clearly at risk of completed suicides. Rates of substance abuse are high in this population (Findling et al., 2001; McClellan et al., 1999; Wilens et al., 2004). Assessments for developmental, cognitive, or speech and language disorders also may be indicated.

Recommendation 5. The Diagnostic Validity of Bipolar Disorder in Young Children Has Yet to Be Established. Caution Must Be Taken Before Applying This Diagnosis in Preschoolchildren [MS].

Preschool children who present with mood and behavioral concerns must be carefully assessed for other
contributing factors, including developmental disorders, psychosocial stressors, parent–child relationship conflicts, and temperamental difficulties. The interpretation of adult diagnostic criteria in very young children is a major challenge. There are no definitive studies outlining a developmentally valid method for assessing manic symptoms in this age group, including grandiosity, flight of ideas, and attention that is too easily drawn to irrelevant stimuli. Moreover, patterns of disrupted sleep and energy must be assessed in the context of the developmental period. Highly volatile and reactive toddlers need assessment and intervention, but whether such youths have bipolar disorder, as defined by the adult literature, has not been established. The diagnosis of a bipolar spectrum disorder in very young children potentially exposes them to aggressive pharmacotherapy. There are reports describing the use of mood stabilizers (Scheffer and Niskala Apps, 2004; Tumuluru et al., 2003) and atypical antipsychotics (Biederman et al., 2005a,b) in this age group. The short- and long-term safety of mood stabilizers and atypical antipsychotic agents for this indication in young children has not been established. It is particularly important with preschoolers that intervention strategies address environmental, developmental, temperamental, and social factors that may relate to symptom presentation.

TREATMENT

Because there are limited studies in youths, most of the treatment recommendations for early-onset bipolar disorder are derived from the adult literature for acute mania. Traditional mood stabilizers (e.g., lithium, valproate) and/or atypical antipsychotics are the primary treatment, with other psychotropic agents and psychotherapies generally used as adjunctive therapy or to address comorbid conditions and problems. Although the term mood stabilizer is widely used, most agents only have clearly demonstrated efficacy for treating acute manic symptoms in adults, thus the term antimanic may be a more accurate description. There are considerably fewer data in adults on the treatment of bipolar depression and the prevention of new mood episodes. No single agent is approved by the FDA for the treatment of all phases of bipolar disorder (acute mania, acute depression, and maintenance treatment to prevent new manic and/or depressive episodes). The adult literature is rapidly evolving, and new evidence on the potential efficacy of medications for bipolar depression and maintenance treatment is likely to emerge soon.

The adult literature appears to be appropriate for those cases with more classic presentation (primarily found in adolescents). The effectiveness and safety of mood-stabilizing regimens for the earlier-onset cases of juvenile mania have not yet been established. Youths with significant emotional and behavioral dysregulation likely need intensive behavioral and parenting interventions in addition to medication therapy (Fristad et al., 2003). Furthermore, the safety of these agents has not been established in youths and is an area of concern given the recent upsurge in prescriptions written for younger children, including preschool children.

A comprehensive treatment plan, combining medications with psychotherapeutic interventions, is needed to address the symptomatology and confounding psychosocial factors present in children and adolescents with bipolar disorder. Cultural issues must be appropriately incorporated into the treatment plan. The goal of therapy is to ameliorate symptoms, provide education about the illness, and promote adherence to treatment, thus working to prevent relapse, reduce long-term morbidity, and promote normal growth and development. This guideline focuses primarily on the treatment of mania and mixed episodes.

SOMATIC TREATMENTS

Recommendation 6. For Mania in Well-Defined DSM-IV-TR Bipolar I Disorder, Pharmacotherapy Is the Primary Treatment [MS].

Standard therapy, based on the adult literature, typically includes lithium, valproate, and/or atypical antipsychotic agents, with other adjunctive medications used as indicated (Kowatch et al., 2005; Suppes et al., 2002). The choice of medication(s) should be made based on (1) evidence of efficacy, (2) the phase of illness, (3) the presence of confounding presentations (e.g., rapid cycling mood swings, psychotic symptoms), (4) the agent’s side effect spectrum and safety, (5) the patient’s history of medication response, and (6) the preferences of the patient and his or her family. A history of treatment response in parents may predict response in offspring (Duffy et al., 2002). Pharmacokinetic parameters of psychotropic agents may vary in
different ethnic groups, with a potential impact on side effects, blood levels, efficacy, and cultural expectations. Although multiple agents are often required, care should be taken to avoid unnecessary polypharmacy.

Treatment should begin with an agent that is approved by the FDA for bipolar disorder in adults, recognizing that the evidence of the efficacy for these agents in children and adolescents is sparse at best, including the following:

- Lithium is approved down to age 12 years for acute mania and maintenance therapy.
- Aripiprazole, valproate, olanzapine, risperidone, quetiapine, and ziprasidone are approved for acute mania in adults. Chlorpromazine is also approved for acute mania in adults, but it is generally not used as a first-line agent.
- Both lamotrigine and olanzapine are approved for maintenance therapy in adults.
- The combination of olanzapine and fluoxetine is approved for bipolar depression in adults.

Other agents with some support for efficacy in adult studies include carbamazepine and antipsychotic agents (American Psychiatric Association, 2002). Controlled studies in adults have not found gabapentin or topiramate to be helpful (Calabrese et al., 2002), whereas the one study of topiramate in children and adolescents was equivocal (DelBello et al., 2005). Clozapine is generally reserved for treatment-refractory cases because of its side-effect profile; it should be used only when the diagnosis is well established. Benzodiazepines are used in adult studies to stabilize the acute agitation and sleep disturbance associated with mania but may cause disinhibition in younger children. Antidepressants (selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors [SSRIs] or nontricyclics) may be useful adjuncts for depression as long as the patient is also taking at least one mood stabilizer. Caution must be taken, however, because antidepressants may destabilize the patient’s mood or incite a manic episode. It is important to note that a manic episode precipitated by an antidepressant is characterized as substance induced per DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Manic symptoms associated with an SSRI may represent the unmasking of the disorder or disinhibition secondary to the agent. Clinicians should also be aware of the concerns regarding the efficacy and safety (including suicidality) of antidepressants in youths (see Vitiello and Swedo, 2004).

The only agent with FDA approval for bipolar disorder in youths (age 12 years and older) is lithium, although that decision was made historically based on the adult literature. All of the mood stabilizers and antipsychotic agents are commonly used for early-onset bipolar disorder in clinical settings, although none of the agents has been well studied in juveniles. The few double-blind, placebo-controlled studies of lithium (Carlson et al., 1992; Delong and Aldershof, 1987; Geller et al., 1998a; Gram and Rafaelsen, 1972; McKnew et al., 1981 [all rdb]) are generally positive, but they are limited by small sample sizes and diagnostic variability. However, one controlled discontinuation trial randomized adolescents acutely stabilized on lithium to either ongoing lithium therapy or placebo (Kafantaris et al., 2004 [rdb]). High rates of relapse were found in both groups in patients stabilized on lithium, raising questions about its ongoing efficacy. Other studies include a positive, large, open-label trial (although many subjects in this trial were also taking antipsychotic agents; Kafantaris et al., 2003 [ct] and a trial demonstrating benefit for comorbid substance abuse (Geller et al., 1998a [rdb]). Finally, lower rates of relapse for adolescents with acute psychotic mania were reported when antipsychotic medication was maintained for at least 4 weeks in combination with lithium (Kafantaris et al., 2001 [ct]).

There are few studies to date that document the efficacy of the anticonvulsants for bipolar disorder in youths. Open-label trials, case reports, and retrospective chart reviews describe the effectiveness of valproate (Davanzo et al., 2003; Papatheodorou et al., 1995 [ct]; State et al., 2004; Wagner et al., 2002 [ct]; West et al., 1994 [ct]), carbamazepine (Hsu, 1986), and topiramate (as an adjunctive agent; DelBello et al., 2002) for juvenile mania, and lamotrigine for adolescents with bipolar depression (Chang et al., 2006). Kowatch et al. (2000 [ct]) found valproate, lithium, and carbamazepine helpful for mania and mixed episodes in 40 children and adolescents, with response rates of 53%, 38%, and 38%, respectively. Combinations of mood stabilizers in youths with bipolar disorder have also been found to be beneficial and safely tolerated for mania and hypomania (Findling et al., 2003 [ct], 2006 [ct]; Kowatch et al. 2003 [ct]).

Open-label trials and retrospective chart reviews also support the effectiveness of olanzapine (Frazier et al., 2001 [ct]; Soutullo et al., 1999), risperidone (Frazier et al., 2001 [ct]; Soutullo et al., 1999).
was safe and effective for the treatment of comorbid treatment with low-dose mixed amphetamine salts and adolescents with ADHD demonstrated that A randomized, controlled trial of 40 bipolar children are adequately controlled on a mood stabilizer regimen. ADHD symptoms once the patient stimulant medications may be helpful for addressing difficulties in conjunction with pharmacotherapy. Difficult-to-treat and likely also need additional interventions in conjunction with pharmacotherapy.

For patients with clearly defined bipolar disorder, stimulant medications may be helpful for addressing ADHD symptoms once the patient’s mood symptoms are adequately controlled on a mood stabilizer regimen. A randomized, controlled trial of 40 bipolar children and adolescents with ADHD demonstrated that treatment with low-dose mixed amphetamine salts was safe and effective for the treatment of comorbid ADHD once the child’s mood symptoms were stabilized with divalproex (Scheffer et al., 2005).

Recommendation 7. Most Youths With Bipolar I Disorder Will Require Ongoing Medication Therapy to Prevent Relapse; Some Individuals Will Need Lifelong Treatment [CG].

In the adult literature, >80% of patients with a manic episode will have at least one episode of relapse (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). Withdrawal of maintenance lithium therapy has been associated with an increased risk of relapse, especially within the 6-month period following lithium discontinuation (American Psychiatric Association, 1994).

Strober et al. (1990) prospectively studied 37 adolescents with bipolar disorder for 18 months; they found that >90% of those who were noncompliant with their lithium treatment relapsed (12 of 13 cases). The relapse rate for those who were compliant with treatment was 37.5%. Findling et al. (2003 [rct]), using a sample of children and adolescents with bipolar disorder stabilized on the combination of valproate and lithium, randomized subjects to receive either lithium or valproate alone as maintenance monotherapy. The majority of subjects relapsed after the switch to monotherapy (median time to relapse was >3 months), with no difference in relapse rates between the two agents. The use of stimulants for comorbid ADHD did not affect relapse rates. Thus, although more definitive studies are needed, current evidence suggests that the regimen needed to stabilize acute mania should be maintained for 12 to 24 months. Maintenance therapy is often needed for youths with bipolar disorder, with some individuals needing lifelong therapy when the benefits of continued treatment outweigh the risks. This should be decided on a case-by-case basis after discussing the risks and benefits of continued treatment (Kowatch et al., 2005).

Until more definitive information is available about the long-term effects of mood stabilizers and antipsychotics, the clinician must balance the potential deleterious impact of symptom reoccurrence versus that of the side effects of the medications. Any attempts to discontinue prophylactic therapy should be done gradually, while closely monitoring the patient for relapse. Furthermore, patients and families must be thoroughly educated as to the early signs and symptoms of mood episodes so that, if necessary, resumption of
treatment occurs as soon as possible. Diagnostic status
should also be reviewed over time to ensure that the
course of medication therapy is justified.

**Recommendation 8. Psychopharmacological Interventions**
**Require Baseline and Follow-up Symptom, Side Effect**
**(Including Patient’s Weight), and Laboratory Monitoring as**
**Indicated [MS].**

Medication trials should be as systematic as possible,
with the duration of trials sufficient to determine the
agent’s effectiveness (Kowatch et al., 2005). In general,
a 6- to 8-week trial of a mood-stabilizing agent is
recommended, using adequate doses, before adding or
substituting other mood stabilizers. Phase of illness is an
important consideration when choosing a medication.
Care should be taken to avoid unnecessary polyphar-
macy, in part by discontinuing agents that have not
demonstrated significant benefit.

Before the initiation of lithium therapy, baseline
laboratory assessment should include complete blood
cell counts; thyroid function tests; urinalysis; blood urea
nitrogen, creatinine, and serum calcium levels; and a
pregnancy test in female adolescents (Kowatch and
DelBello, 2003). Once a stable lithium dose is
obtained, lithium levels, renal and thyroid function,
and urinalyses should be monitored regularly (every
3–6 months; Kowatch and DelBello, 2003). For
valproate, baseline liver function tests, complete blood
cell counts, and pregnancy tests are recommended
(Kowatch and DelBello, 2003). Serum drug levels, plus
hepatic and hematological indices, should be moni-
tored periodically (every 3–6 months). However, it is
also important to advise patients and families about
presenting symptoms of potential adverse effects
because periodic monitoring does not ensure that
abnormalities will be readily identified. Finally, clini-
cians should be aware of the concerns raised regarding
valproate and the development of polycystic ovary
disease in females (Rasgon, 2004).

The atypical antipsychotics as a class are associated
with significant weight gain and other metabolic
problems (e.g., type 2 diabetes, hyperlipidemia). Thus,
the American Dietetic Association’s recommendations
for managing weight gain for patients taking anti-
psychotics should be followed (American Psychiatric
Association, 2004). This includes baseline body mass
index, waist circumference, blood pressure, fasting
glucose, and a fasting lipid panel. The body mass
index should be followed monthly for 3 months and
then quarterly. Blood pressure, fasting glucose, and
lipids should be followed up after 3 months and then
yearly. Some agents have additional monitoring
requirements (e.g., white blood cell counts with
clozapine). Extrapyramidal side effects, including
tardive dyskinesia, may occur with atypical agents
and need to be monitored.

**Recommendation 9. For Severely Impaired Adolescents**
**With Manic or Depressive Episodes in Bipolar I Disorder,**
**Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT) May Be Used If**
**Medications Either Are Not Helpful or Cannot Be**
**Tolerated [OP].**

In adults, ECT is an effective treatment for mania, but
it is generally offered only for patients who have not
responded to standard medication treatment (Suppes
et al., 2002). ECT is safe as long as modern methods are
used (i.e., appropriate anesthesia, alterations in the
delivery of the electrical stimulus, the selected use of
unilateral treatment, and cardiopulmonary monitoring).
ECT is generally considered the treatment of choice for
bipolar disorder in the following clinical situations: (1)
pregnancy, (2) catatonia, (3) neuroleptic malignant
syndrome, and (4) any other medical condition in
which more standard medication regimens are contra-

Case reports indicate that ECT may be beneficial for
youths with bipolar disorder (including mania, rapid
cycling, and depressed phases), although the literature at
this time is extremely limited (American Academy of
Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 2004). ECT should
only be considered for adolescents with well-characterized
bipolar I disorder who have severe episodes of mania or
depression and are nonresponsive (or unable to take)
standard medication therapies. ECT should not be
considered an option for cases best described as bipolar
disorder NOS or the atypical presentations of juvenile
mania. Potential side effects include short-term cognitive
impairment, anxiety reactions, disinhibition, and altered
seizure threshold.

**PSYCHOTHERAPEUTIC INTERVENTIONS**

**Recommendation 10. Psychotherapeutic Interventions Are**
**an Important Component of a Comprehensive Treatment**
**Plan for Early-Onset Bipolar Disorder [MS].**

The development of bipolar disorder during child-
hood or adolescence disrupts ongoing developmental
processes, including academic, social, and family functioning (Kowatch et al., 2005). Therefore, a comprehensive, multimodal treatment approach that combines psychopharmacology with adjunctive psychosocial therapies is almost always indicated for early-onset bipolar disorder. Although medications help with the core symptoms of the illness, they do not necessarily address the associated functional and developmental impairments and the frequent need for support and skills building. Preexisting behavior disorders, substance abuse disorders, learning problems, and confounding psychosocial issues may require additional and specific treatments related to those problems once the affective episode is stabilized. Psychotherapeutic interventions are needed to promote medication compliance and avoid relapse. Finally, interventions are needed to help youths and families cope with the developmental impact on peer relationships, academic performance, and psychological health.

In the adult literature, psychoeducational, family, and individual interpersonal and social rhythm therapies are the best supported adjuncts to medications (Craighead and Miklowitz, 2000). Research examining expressed emotion suggests that family dynamics have a moderating effect on treatment response and relapse rates (Miklowitz, 2004). Family-focused therapy stresses the importance of treatment compliance and positive family relationships and enhances problem-solving and communication skills (Miklowitz et al., 2000). Interpersonal and social rhythm therapy (Frank et al., 1997, 2000) focuses on reducing stress and vulnerability by stabilizing social and sleep routines. Combining individual and family interventions appears to help decrease relapse and lessen depressive symptoms (Miklowitz et al., 2003).

For pediatric bipolar disorder, controlled data on psychosocial interventions are starting to emerge. Miklowitz et al. (2004 [ct]) modified their family-focused therapy for adolescents and demonstrated positive results in a preliminary open trial. Pavuluri et al. (2004a,b,c,d [ct]) developed child- and family-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy, adapting strategies from adult therapeutic models, including functional family therapy (Miklowitz et al., 2000). The treatment uses psychoeducational, affect regulation, and interpersonal functioning strategies. Preliminary outcomes in 34 youths with bipolar disorder were positive. Others have also noted that psychoeducational approaches appear to be helpful in this population (Fristad et al., 2003).

Thus, extrapolation from the adult literature plus preliminary studies suggests several areas in which psychotherapeutic interventions should be directed:

1. **Psychoeducational therapy.** Information should be provided to both the patient and family regarding the symptoms and course of the disorder, treatment options, the potential impact of the illness on psychosocial and family functioning, and the heritability of the disorder.

2. **Relapse prevention.** Education should be provided to the patient and family regarding the impact of noncompliance with medications, the recognition of emergent relapse symptoms, and other factors that may precipitate relapse (e.g., sleep deprivation, substance abuse). Stress reduction and the promotion of stable social and sleep habits may be particularly helpful areas to target, especially for adolescents. Medication noncompliance is a major contributor to relapse. Therefore, efforts must be made to educate both the patient and family about the importance of ongoing treatment as well as dealing with psychological resistance to taking medication. Establishing a strong therapeutic relationship and providing regular follow-up assessments are important in maintaining compliance.

3. **Individual psychotherapy.** Based on studies of cognitive-behavioral therapy and interpersonal therapy in adults as well as clinical consensus of therapy with bipolar youths, individual psychotherapies support psychological development, skill building, and close monitoring of symptoms and progress.

4. **Social and family functioning.** Bipolar disorder significantly affects social, family, academic, and developmental functioning. Therefore, in addition to efforts directed at reducing further episodes, psychosocial interventions are needed to address the myriad of disruptions that emerge in the wake of the disorder. Efforts to enhance family and social relationships, including therapies directed at communication and problem-solving skills, are likely to be helpful. Cultural issues must be taken into account when devising psychotherapeutic strategies.

5. **Academic and occupational functioning.** The educational needs of youths with bipolar disorder must
be adequately addressed to help promote long-term academic growth, especially given the high rates of comorbid disruptive behavior disorders. School consultation and an individual educational plan are often necessary to help develop an appropriate educational environment. Some youths will need specialized educational programs, including day treatment or partial hospitalization programs. For older teenagers, vocational training and occupational support may also be important needs to address.

6. Community consultation. Consultation may be needed with other involved community, juvenile justice, and/or social welfare programs. Some youths, because of either the severity of their symptoms or confounding environmental stressors, will need referral for intensive community-based services to maintain them at home. Alternatively, some patients may need foster care or residential services. Finally, patients and families often receive benefit by participating in community support and advocacy programs.

Recommendation 11. The Treatment of Bipolar Disorder NOS Generally Involves the Combination of Psychopharmacology With Behavioral/Psychosocial Interventions [CG].

Strategies for treating bipolar disorder NOS are not well defined because it is not clear how well the adult bipolar treatment literature extrapolates to this population. Intervention strategies should be based on the specific symptom presentations of the child, comorbid conditions, and family needs rather than initiating standard protocols for bipolar I disorder. Evidence-based therapies for behavioral difficulties should be used (see McClellan and Werry, 2003). Dialectical behavioral therapy may be helpful for youths with mood and behavioral dysregulation (Katz et al., 2004). Mood stabilizers and atypical antipsychotics are often used to help control severe mood lability and explosive outbursts. In general, although open trials have supported efficacy for juvenile mania (Kowatch et al., 2000), the impact of medication treatment on outcome remains in question (Biederman et al., 1999; Geller et al., 2002b). The specificity of the treatment response is unclear because these agents also help in the treatment of aggression, with risperidone being the agent best studied to date (Steiner et al., 2003).

Other medications, including stimulants and antidepressants, may be used to treat comorbid ADHD or associated depression. Perhaps the most common dilemma is whether and when to use stimulants in children when there is a question of whether one is dealing with mania/hypomania or ADHD with mood lability and low frustration tolerance. Two studies (Carlson and Kelly, 2003; Carlson et al., 2000; Galanter et al., 2003) found that boys with ADHD plus manic-like symptoms responded as well as those without manic symptoms to methylphenidate and that stimulant treatment did not precipitate progression to bipolar disorder. These data challenge existing beliefs that the failure to respond to stimulants is diagnostic of mania or that stimulant treatment may predispose children to the development of bipolar disorder. Of course, these data also raise the question of whether manic symptoms equate to true mania. Because stimulants and SSRIs can cause irritability and disinhibition, distinguishing medication side effects from an emerging manic episode is a potential challenge. One retrospective review found that 58% of youths with juvenile bipolar disorder (n = 82) had experienced an emergence of manic symptoms after exposure to a mood-elevating agent, most often antidepressants (Faedda et al., 2004). The development of activation secondary to mood-elevating agents does not equate to a diagnosis of bipolar disorder (Carlson et al., 2000). If this were the case, then high rates of bipolar disorder would be evident in the follow-up studies of children with ADHD in those subjects who did not respond well to stimulants.

Scientific Data and Clinical Consensus

Practice parameters are strategies for patient management, developed to assist clinicians in psychiatric decision making. American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry practice parameters, based on evaluation of the scientific literature and relevant clinical consensus, describe generally accepted approaches to assess and treat specific disorders or to perform specific medical procedures. These parameters are not intended to define the standard of care, nor should they be deemed inclusive of all proper methods of care or exclusive of other methods of care directed at obtaining the desired results. The ultimate judgment regarding the care of a particular patient must be made by the clinician.
in light of all of the circumstances presented by the patient and his or her family, the diagnostic and treatment options available, and available resources.
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